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The evolution is governed by the dynamics of a conformal field theory:
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## Plan:

-Two dimensional systems
-Discussion of $d>2$

- Large d.
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In a conformal theory

$$
T^{\mu \nu}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
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The initial and boundary conditions imply that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
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\end{aligned}
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## We find:
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\begin{aligned}
& T_{+}(u)= \begin{cases}-n\left(P_{\text {left }}-P_{\text {right }}\right)+T_{+}\left(u_{0}\right) & u_{0}>0 \\
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-(n-1)\left(P_{\text {left }}-P_{\text {right }}\right)+\left(P n_{\text {right }}-T_{+}\left(-u_{0}\right)\right) & u_{0}<0\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
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where
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If we set $\mathrm{L} \rightarrow \infty$, then in the finite $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{t} \rightarrow \infty$ limit we expect to see a time independent steady state.
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At $x=-\infty$ we have the left heat bath

$$
T_{+}(-\infty)+T_{-}(-\infty)=P_{\text {left }}, \quad T_{-}(-\infty)-T_{+}(-\infty)=0
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Therefore, at $\mathrm{t}=\boldsymbol{\infty}$ we have (See also, Bermard and Doyon, 2012)
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\begin{aligned}
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## 2d CFT's

The exact same analysis can be used to consider more complicated configurations:
$\xrightarrow[\substack{\text { 局 }}]{\beta_{\mathrm{R}}}$

$$
T^{01}(t \rightarrow \infty)=\frac{\pi}{12}\left(c_{-} T_{L}^{2}-c_{+} T_{R}^{2} \frac{1-\beta_{R}}{1+\beta_{R}}\right)+\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left(k_{-} \mu_{L}^{-}-k_{+} \mu_{R}^{+} \frac{1-\beta_{R}}{1+\beta_{R}}\right)
$$
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The resulting steady state will be characterised by: $T_{*}^{00} T_{*}^{01}$
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Heat converted to kinetic energy (?)

## $d>2$

Energy momentum conservation and conformal invariance imply:

$$
\partial_{\mu} T^{\mu \nu}=0, \quad T^{\mu}{ }_{\mu}=0
$$

The resulting system of non linear equations is still difficult to solve.

Consider another ansatz (rarefaction wave)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T^{00}(x, t)=T^{00}(x / t) \\
& T^{01}(x, t)=T^{01}(x / t)
\end{aligned}
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and evolve it forward in time. Using

$$
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\begin{aligned}
& d s^{2}=2 d t(d r-A(r, z) d t)+r^{2} d \vec{x}^{2} \\
& A(r, z)=r^{2}\left(1-\left(\frac{a_{1}(z)}{3 r}\right)^{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and evolve it forward in time. Using
$d s^{2}=2 d t(d r-A(t, z, r) d t-F(t, z, r) d z)+\Sigma^{2}(t, r, z)\left(e^{B(t, z, r)} d x_{\perp}^{2}+e^{-B(t, z, r)} d z^{2}\right)$
the Einstein equations reduce to a set of nested linear differential equations in the radial coordinate ' $r$ '. We have solved these equations numerically.
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## Infinite d

If we send $d$ to infinity the difference between the solutions becomes prominent.
One finds

$$
T^{\mu \nu}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e & j \\
j & e+\frac{j^{2}}{e}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
e(\chi, t) & =e(\chi / t) \\
j(\chi, t) & =j(\chi / t)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $j_{l}=0$ and $e_{l}=1$
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We can fix instead the right asymptotic: $\quad e_{r}=1 \quad j_{r}=0$
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Stress tensor is
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once ' $g$ ' is chosen appropriately
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## Summary



In a 2d CFT we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T^{00}=T_{+}(\infty)+T_{-}(-\infty)=\frac{1}{2}\left(P_{\text {left }}+P_{\text {right }}\right), \\
& T^{01}=T_{-}(-\infty)-T_{+}(\infty)=\frac{1}{2}\left(P_{\text {left }}-P_{\text {right }}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## $d>2$

We have 4 possibilities:







## Thank you

